

GPGPU-Assisted Nonlinear Denoising Filter Generation for Video Coding

Seishi Takamura and Atsushi Shimizu NTT Corporation, Japan

Summary

- State-of-the-art video coding technologies such as H.265/HEVC employ in-loop denoising filters.
- We have developed a new type of in-loop denoising filter with Genetic Programming (GP), which is heavily nonlinear and contentspecific.
- > To boost the evolution, GPGPU is utilized in filter evaluation process.
- Proposed method yielded better denoising filter in 100x less time.
- The bit rate reduction of 1.492-2.569% was achieved against the reference software of H.265/HEVC.

Video Coding Block Diagram



Innovative R&D by NT

A Leap from Linear Denoising Filter





Innovative R&D by NTT

Denoising Filter Support



Innovative R&D by NTT

Nodes used by our Filter

- > Terminal nodes
- I: pixel value of p
- Ixx: (pxx + qxx) / 2,
- Dxx: (pxx qxx) / 2,.

Ils: least-square restored value, a linear combination of I, $I00\cdots I11$ with offset.

x, y: horizontal and vertical coordinate of the pixel. value: immediate values such as "0.3".

```
> Functional nodes
min, max, average, abs, /, *, +, -,
exp, pow, log, sqrt, sin, cos, tan, asin, acos, atan,
sinh, cosh, tanh, conditional branch
In addition, followings are defined
and(a, b) := (a>=0 && b>=0) ? (a+b)/2 : -(|a|+|b|)/2,
or(a, b) := (a>=0 || b>=0) ? (|a|+|b|)/2 : -(|a|+|b|)/2,
xor(a, b) := (ab<=0) ? (|a|+|b|)/2 : -(|a|+|b|)/2.</pre>
```



Serializations of a Tree



- Normal expression (or infix notation): (sin(I20) + max(I01, log(0.5))) / 2
- Lisp S-expression (or prefix notation): (div (add (sin (I20))(max (I01)(log 0.5))) 2)
- Reverse Polish notation (or postfix notation): I20 sin I01 0.5 log max add 2.0 div

- > We used Reverse Polish notation (as described later).
- > The fitness function in the evolution is D+ λ R, where
 - D is the squared sum of the errors between the filtered image and original image
 - > R is the amount of tree information that represents the filter algorithm
 - λ is the same Lagrange multiplier as the encoder uses during ratedistortion optimization process



GPGPU implementation



- We convert the tree in Reverse Polish Notation (RPN) prior to the evaluation.
- Linearized instructions are stuffed from the middle of the array (a) toward the beginning.
- Immediate values are picked out and stuffed from the end (c).

Filter evaluation procedure is like following: for (index = 0; index < array_length; index++) { switch (funcIDs[index]) { case add: a=pop(); b=pop(); push(a+b); break; case sin: a=pop(); push(sin(a)); break; case imm: push(<the value>); break; case I: push(I); break; case I: push(I); break;

novative B&D by N



Simulation Conditions



CPU: Intel Core i7-3960X Extreme Edition, C2 stepping

Clock rate: 3.3GHz

Cores: 6 (one core is used for the CPU-experiment)

Hyper threading: on

Memory: 64 GB

OS: Ubuntu Linux 12.04.2 LTS x86_64 Desktop Edition

GPU: NVIDIA GeForce GTX 690

CUDA capability: 3.0

CUDA Cores: 1536

GPU Clock rate: 1.020 GHz

Global memory: 2048 MB

L2 Cache Size: 512 KB

CUDA: Driver version: 5.0.35, x86_64

SDK/Toolkit version: 5.0.35

C++ Compiler (as the backend for nvcc):

Intel C++ Compiler version: 12.1.5 20120612

Video sequences used



BQMall (832x480)



BQTerrace (1920x1080)



CPU vs. GPU Comparison

Filter (of 121 nodes) evaluation time over BQMall (832x480)

	Time [sec]	Speed-up (vs.CPU)
CPU (1 core)	0.336489	
GPU	0.002674	125.8x

➢ Filter evolution speed for BQMall (832x480)





9

Innovative R&D by NT

Coding Performance Comparison (vs. original H.265/HEVC)



Negative values

mean better HM-7.2-3164 ALF* LS fiter** Propsal performance/ **BD**-rate Y-PSNR |BD-rate |Y-PSNR | BD-rate |filter info| Y-PSNR total rate rate (a) vs. HM [dB]QP [bits] [dB]vs. HM vs. HM |(R) [bits] |(a+R)[bits] [dB]Sequence BQSquare 22 210,720 41.53 41.54 626 211,346 41.71 37.16 138,467 37.27 (ALF off) 27 138,152 37.17 315 0.135% -1.492%32 88,288 33.30 33.33 329 88,617 33.46 29.65 29.93 37 55,048 29.70 418 55,466 -1.437% BQSquare 22 210,944 41.53 41.54 520 211,464 41.69 (vs.ALFon) (ALF on) 27 138,352 37.16 37.17 445 138,797 37.30 -0.022% 0.28% 33.33 33.35 -1.455% 88,504 279 88,783 33.48 32 55,392 29.71 29.72 315 55,707 29.95 (vs.ALFoff) 37 RaceHorses 174,448 42.19 175,643 42.47 22 42.30 1195 (ALF off) 27 109,264 37.97 38.10 109,962 38.18 698 -1.202% -2.569%32 63,848 34.08 34.21 750 64,598 34.35 30.57 34,696 30.71 35,232 30.86 37 536 RaceHorses 22 174,936 42.26 42.29 321 175,257 42.36 -0.843% (vs.ALFon) 109,536 38.12 109,572 38.13 (ALF on) 27 38.14 36 1.755% 0.428% -2.580% 34.26 34.39 32 64,128 34.26 376 64,504 (vs.ALFoff) 37 34,992 30.73 30.74 236 35,228

HM: H.265/HEVC reference software (used as an anchor)

*ALF: adaptive loop filter (state-of-the-art loop filter)

**LS filter: least square filter. Filter info(R) = 448 bits



Copyright©2014 NTT corp. All Rights Reserved.

30.85

Example of Generated Filter



RaceHorses, QP=22, ALF-off, filter information (R) = 1,195 bits (add (add (add (mul (I) 0.932803332806)(mul (I01) 0.087968140841))(add (mul (I02) -0.051799394190)(mul (I00) 0.095137931406)))(add (add (mul (I03) -0.050682399422)(mul (I04) -0.040202748030))(add (mul (I05) -0.052293013781) (mul (ave (I02)(tan (I12))) 0.017782183364))))(add (add (add (mul (I07) 0.025515399873) (mul (I08) 0.025515399873))(sub (mul (sin (atan (and (I09)(I21)))) 0.016251996160)(mul (tanh (tanh (mul (I02) (asin (log (sinh (sqr (div (mul (I05) (sqr (div (atan (mul (mul (asin (asin (sqr (I))))(sqr (sqr (div (I05) (I13)))))(sqr (div (sin (I19)) (I01)))))(sqr (I01)))))(I03)))))))) 0.005235218443)))(mul (I29) -0.005818639882)))

Conclusion

- A novel method to generate denoising filter that enhances the coding performance is proposed.
- GPGPU accelerated the evolution by around 100 times than the CPU.
- Generated filters outperformed least square filter and state-of-the-art filter, i.e., ALF.